

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8
9

10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11
12

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA
DREVALEVA,

No. C 18-03748 WHA

Plaintiff,

13
14

v.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS
AFFAIRS, *et al.*,

**ORDER RE *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
STATUS ON APPEAL**

Defendants.

15
16

17 Our court of appeals has referred this matter to the undersigned judge for the limited
18 purpose of determining whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue for *pro se* plaintiff
19 Tatyana Drevaleva (Dkt. No. 179). There is no evidence that plaintiff's financial position has
20 changed significantly since she was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the instant
21 action. Moreover, while plaintiff's case is weak, the Court cannot say that plaintiff's appeal is
22 so frivolous or insincere as to deny continued *in forma pauperis* status. Accordingly, this order
23 finds that she may proceed *in forma pauperis* on her pending appeal. The Clerk shall please
24 notify the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit of this order.

25

26

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27

28

Dated: August 14, 2019.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE